



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Tim Holden
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Holden:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Stephanie Herseth Sandlin
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Herseth Sandlin:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Robert B. Aderholt
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Aderholt:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Steve C. Austria
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Austria:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Michele Bachmann
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Bachmann:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable J. Gresham Barrett
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Barrett:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Jo Bonner
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Bonner:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Spencer Bachus
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Bachus:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Joe Barton
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Barton:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Shelley Berkley
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Berkley:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Marion Berry
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Berry:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Judy Biggert
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Biggert:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the typed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Robert B. Bishop
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Bishop:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Sanford D. Bishop
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Bishop:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Marsha W. Blackburn
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Blackburn:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Roy Blunt
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Blunt:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable John Boccieri
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Boccieri:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Charles W. Boustany
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Boustany:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Bobby Bright
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Bright:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Paul C. Broun
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Broun:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Eric I. Cantor
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Cantor:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the typed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Shelley Moore Capito
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Capito:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Christopher P. Carney
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Carney:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable John R. Carter
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Carter:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable William Cassidy
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Cassidy:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Jason Chaffetz
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Chaffetz:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Travis W. Childers
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Childers:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Donna W. Christensen
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Christensen:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Howard Coble
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Coble:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Mike Coffman
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Coffman:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Tom Cole
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Cole:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable K. Michael Conaway
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Conaway:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Jerry F. Costello
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Costello:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Mark S. Critz
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Critz:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Michael F. Doyle
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Doyle:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Kathleen Dahlkemper
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Dahlkemper:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Geoff Davis
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Davis:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Charles W. Dent
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Dent:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Joe Donnelly
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Donnelly:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable John Duncan
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Duncan:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Jo Ann Emerson
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Emerson:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable John C. Fleming
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Fleming:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Bill Foster
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Foster:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Virginia Foxx
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Foxx:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Louie Gohmert
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Gohmert:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Charles A. Gonzalez
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Gonzalez:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Bob Goodlatte
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Goodlatte:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Kay Granger
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Granger:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Sam Graves
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Graves:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Gene Green
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Green:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Brett Guthrie
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Guthrie:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Ralph M. Hall
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Hall:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Deborah Halvorson
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Halvorson:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Gregg Harper
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Harper:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Doc Hastings
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Hastings:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Dean Heller
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Heller:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Baron P. Hill
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Hill:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Bob Inglis
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Inglis:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Lynn Jenkins
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Jenkins:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Walter B. Jones
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Jones:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Jim Jordan
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Jordan:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Steve Kagen
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Kagen:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Ron Kind
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Kind:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the printed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Steve King
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman King:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the typed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable John P. Kline
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Kline:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Doug Lamborn
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Lamborn:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Steven C. LaTourette
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman LaTourette:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Tom Latham
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Latham:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Robert E. Latta
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Latta:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable John Linder
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Linder:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Frank D. Lucas
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Lucas:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Blaine Luetkemeyer
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Luetkemeyer:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the typed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Cynthia Lummis
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Lummis:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Jim Matheson
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Matheson:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Michael T. McCaul
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman McCaul:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Mike McIntyre
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman McIntyre:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Cathy McMorris Rodgers
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman McMorris Rodgers:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Jeff Miller
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Miller:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Alan B. Mollohan
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Mollohan:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Jerry Moran
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Moran:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Sue Myrick
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Myrick:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

The Honorable Pete Olson
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Olson:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Erik Paulsen
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Paulsen:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned below the word "Sincerely,".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Collin C. Peterson
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Peterson:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Thomas E. Petri
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Petri:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Joseph R. Pitts
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Pitts:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Todd R. Platts
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Platts:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Earl Pomeroy
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Pomeroy:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Mike D. Rogers
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Rogers:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the typed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Denny Rehbrg
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Rehbrg:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Ciro D. Rodriguez
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Rodriguez:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the typed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Peter J. Roskam
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Roskam:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Mike Ross
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Ross:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Paul Ryan
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Ryan:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Timothy J. Ryan
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Ryan:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Michael K. Simpson
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Simpson:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable John T. Salazar
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Salazar:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Jean Schmidt
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Schmidt:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Aaron Schock
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Schock:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable James Sensenbrenner
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Sensenbrenner:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable John Shadegg
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Shadegg:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable John M. Shimkus
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Shimkus:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Bill Shuster
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Shuster:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the typed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Ike Skelton
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Skelton:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the typed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Adrian Smith
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Smith:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Zack Space
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Space:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Betty Sutton
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congresswoman Sutton:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Harry Teague
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Teague:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Glenn Thompson
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Thompson:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Mac Thornberry
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Thornberry:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Todd Tiahrt
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Tiahrt:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Patrick J. Tiberi
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Tiberi:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the typed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Peter J. Visclosky
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Visclosky:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Timothy Walz
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Walz:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned above the typed name.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Charles Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Wilson:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Lynn A. Westmoreland
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Westmoreland:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Ed Whitfield
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Whitfield:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Joe Wilson
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Wilson:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Robert Wittman
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Wittman:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Mathy Stanislaus".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

SEP - 1 2010

The Honorable Don Young
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

OFFICE OF
SOLID WASTE AND
EMERGENCY RESPONSE

Dear Congressman Young:

Thank you for your letter of July 29, 2010 to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Administrator Lisa P. Jackson, expressing your interest in EPA's proposed rulemaking governing the management of coal combustion residuals (CCRs) and the potential adverse impacts associated with a possible re-classification of CCRs as a hazardous waste. I appreciate your interest in these important issues.

In the proposed rule, EPA seeks public comment on two approaches available under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). One option is drawn from remedies available under Subtitle C, which creates a comprehensive program of federally enforceable requirements for waste management and disposal. The other option includes remedies under Subtitle D, which gives EPA authority to set performance standards for waste management facilities which are narrower in scope and would be enforced primarily by those states who adopt their own coal ash management programs and by private citizen suits. EPA estimated the potential impact of the proposed rule on electricity prices assuming that 100% of the costs of the rule would be passed through to coal-fired electric utility customers. EPA estimated a potential increase of 0.015 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle D option to 0.070 cents per kilowatt-hour under the Subtitle C option in potential average electricity prices charged by coal-fired electric utility plants on a nationwide basis.

EPA is not proposing to regulate the beneficial use of CCRs. EPA continues to strongly support the safe and protective beneficial use of CCRs. However, EPA has identified concerns with some uses of CCRs in an unencapsulated form, in the event proper practices are not employed. The Agency is soliciting comment and information on these types of uses.

Again, thank you for your letter. If you have further questions, please contact me or your staff may call Raquel Snyder, in EPA's Office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Relations, at (202) 564-9586.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Mathy Stanislaus", is positioned below the word "Sincerely,".

Mathy Stanislaus
Assistant Administrator